

ILM1920: Archives: Collection Development and Description

[View Online](#)

1

Theimer K, editor. Appraisal and acquisition: innovative practices for archives and special collections. Lanham, Maryland: : Rowman & Littlefield 2015.
https://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=4810223070002418&institutionId=2418&customerId=2415

2

Roe K. Arranging & describing archives & manuscripts. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 2005.

3

Theimer K, editor. Description. Lanham: : Rowman & Littlefield 2014.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=AberystUni&isbn=9780810890947>

4

International Council on Archives. International Council on Archives code of ethics. 1996.
<http://www.ica.org/en/ica-code-ethics>

5

International Council on Archives. ISAD(G): General international standard archival description. 2000.
<https://www.ica.org/resource/isadg-general-international-standard-archival-description-second-edition/>

6

Williams C. Managing archives: foundations, principles and practice. Oxford: : Chandos 2006.

7

Robyns MC. Using functional analysis in archival appraisal: a practical and effective alternative to traditional appraisal methodologies. Lanham: : Rowman & Littlefield 2014.
https://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&p;package_service_id=4841473040002418&institutionId=2418&customerId=2415

8

Jenkinson H. A manual of archive administration. 2nd ed. reprinted. London: : Lund, Humphries 1965.
<https://archive.org/stream/manualofarchivea00jenkuoft#page/n7/mode/2up>

9

Arts Council England. Acceptance in Lieu.
<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/tax-incentives/acceptance-lieu>

10

The National Archives. Applying for Grant Aid.
2004.http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/applying_grant_aid.pdf

11

The National Archives. Archive Collection Policy Statements: Checklist of Suggested Contents.
2004.<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/+/https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/archive-collection-policy.pdf>

12

Abraham T. Collection policy or documentation strategy: theory and practice. *American Archivist* 1991;**54**

.<http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.54.1.v2323483077xr227>

13

Kitching C, Hart I. Collection policy statements. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 1995;**16**:7-14. doi:10.1080/00379819509511756

14

Cultural Gifts Scheme and Acceptance in Lieu - report 2021.

2021.<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/sites/default/files/download-file/CGSAILAnnualReport2021%20%281%29.pdf>

15

Abraham T. Documentary Strategies: A Decade (or More) Later.

1995.<http://webpages.uidaho.edu/special-collections/papers/docstr10.htm>

16

Department for Digital, Culture Media & Sport. Export of Objects of Cultural Interest 2018-19 and 2019-20.

2021.https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/sites/default/files/download-file/RCEWA_annual_report%20%2718%2619_%2719%2620.pdf

17

Arts Council England. Export licensing.

<http://www.artscouncil.org.uk/what-we-do/supporting-museums/cultural-property/export-controls/export-licensing/>

18

Goodison N. Goodison Review securing the best for our museums: Private giving and Government support.

2004.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20050301200356/http://hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/B9D/02/ACF10B6.pdf>

19

The National Archives. Loan (deposit) agreements for privately owned archives.
2006.<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/+https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/loanagreement.pdf>

20

Tullock J, Alexandra C. Logjam: an audit of uncatalogued collections in the North West.
2004.<http://web.archive.org/web/20060926174216/http://www.mlanorthwest.org.uk/assets/documents/10000070Logjamfullreport.pdf>

21

Sims RMC. Obligations and aftercare: the depositor and service standard agreements.
Journal of the Society of Archivists 2003;**24**:215–21. doi:10.1080/0037981032000127061

22

Arts Council England. Reviewing committee.
<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/supporting-collections-and-cultural-property/reviewing-committee>

23

The National Archives. Surveying historical manuscripts: some guidelines.
2006.<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/archives/surveying-historical-manuscripts.pdf>

24

HM Government. Tax relief for national heritage assets - Detailed guidance.
2013.<https://www.gov.uk/tax-relief-for-national-heritage-assets>

25

Hackman LJ, Warnow-Blewett J. The documentation strategy process: a model and a case study. *American Archivist* 1987;**50**:12–47.<http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.50.1.uxr6766121033766>

26

Dicken J. Twentieth century literary archives: Collecting policies and research initiatives. In: *New directions in archival research*. Liverpool: : Liverpool University Centre for Archive Studies 2000.

27

Helen Willa Samuels. Who controls the past. *American Archivist* 1986;**49**.<http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.49.2.t76m2130txw40746>

28

Tschan RA. A comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on appraisal. *American Archivist* 2002;**65**.<http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.65.2.920w65g3217706l1>

29

Larkin P. A neglected responsibility: contemporary literary manuscripts. In: *Required writing: miscellaneous pieces 1955-1982*. London: : Faber 1983.

30

The National Archives. Acquisition and disposition strategy. 2007.
<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/acquisition-strategy.pdf>

31

Cook, Terry. Appraisal methodology: Macro appraisal and functional analysis. Part A: Concept and theory. 2000.<http://www.bac-lac.gc.ca/eng/services/government-information-resources/disposition/Documents/MacroappraisalPartA.pdf>

32

Menne-Haritz A. Appraisal or documentation: Can we appraise archives by selecting content? *American Archivist* 1994;**57**
.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293851?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

33

The National Archives. Appraisal policy. 2012.
http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/appraisal_policy.pdf

34

The National Archives. Appraisal toolkits.
<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/information-management/projects-and-work/appraisal.htm>

35

Baerlett, N. Appraising university records: A retrospective analysis.
2001.<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~deromedi/module/nbdisc.htm>

36

Reed B. Archival appraisal. In: *Keeping archives*. Port Melbourne: : Thorpe in association with the Australian Society of Archivists 1993.

37

Boles F, Young JM. *Archival appraisal*. New York: : Neal-Schuman Publishers 1991.

38

Couture C. Archival appraisal, a status report. *Archivaria* 1995;**59**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12502/13624

39

Craig BL, Thomson Gale (Firm). Archival appraisal: theory and practice.

Mu

nchen, Germany: : K.G. Saur 2004.

<http://galenet.galegroup.com/servlet/eBooks?ste=22&docNum=CX3453399999&q=uniaber>

40

Ham FG. Archival choices: Managing the historical record in an age of abundance.

American Archivist 1984;**47**

.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40292632?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

41

Brichford MJ, Society of American Archivists. Archives and manuscripts: appraisal and accessioning. Chicago: : Society of Archivists 1977.

42

Jimerson R. Archives and manuscripts: deciding what to save. OCLC Systems & Services 2003;**19**.<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/10650750310508108>

43

Walters TO. Contemporary archival appraisal methods and preservation decision-making. American Archivist 1996;**59**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293990>

44

Pearce N. Deciding what to save: Fifty years of theory and practice. In: Archival choices: managing the historical record in an age of abundance. Lexington, Mass: : Lexington Books 1984. 1-18.

45

Harvey, Ross. Digital curation manual instalment on appraisal and selection. 2007.

http://www.dcc.ac.uk/webfm_send/121

46

Abraham, Terry. Documentation strategies: A decade (or more) later. 1995.
<https://www.lib.uidaho.edu/special-collections/t-abraham/docstr10.htm>

47

Greene MA, Daniels-Howell TJ. Documentation with an attitude: A pragmatist's guide to the selection and acquisition to modern business records. In: The records of American business. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 1997.

48

Jimerson R. Embracing the power of archives. The American Archivist 2006;**69**
[.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294309](http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294309)

49

Boles, F., Greene, M.A. Et tu Schellenberg? Thoughts on the dagger of American appraisal theory. American Archivist 1996;**59**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293988>

50

Doylen, Michael. Experiments in deaccessioning: Archives and online auctions. American Archivist 2001;**64**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294176>

51

Boles, F. Exploring the black box: The appraisal of university administrative records. American Archivist 1985;**48**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40292740>

52

Bailey, C. From top down: The practice of macro-appraisal. Archivaria 1997;**43**
[.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12177/13188](http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12177/13188)

53

Whyte, Angus, Wilson, Andrew. How to appraise and select research data for curation. 2010. <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides/appraise-select-data>

54

Benedict, K. Invitation to a bonfire: Reappraisal and de-accessioning of records as collection management tools in an Archives – A reply to Leonard Rapport. *American Archivist* 1984;**47**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40292635>

55

Stapleton, R. Jenkinson and Schellenberg: a comparison. *Archivaria* 1983;**17**.<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11021/11956>

56

Cook, Terry. Macro-appraisal and functional analysis: documenting governance rather than government. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2004;**25**.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0037981042000199106>

57

Brown, R. Macro-appraisal theory and the context of the public records creator. *Archivaria* 1995;**40**.<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12102/13094>

58

Cook, Terry. Macroappraisal in theory and practice: Origins, characteristics and implementation in Canada, 1950-2000. *Archival Science* 2005;**4**.<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10502-005-9010-2#page-1>

59

Boles, F. Mix two parts interest to one part information and appraise until done: Understanding contemporary record selection processes. *American Archivist* 1987;**50**

.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293134>

60

Schellenberg TR. Modern archives: principles and techniques. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 2003.

<http://www.archivists.org/publications/epubs/ModernArchives-Schellenberg.pdf>

61

Jenkinson H. Modern archives. Some reflections on T R Schellenberg. 1980.

62

Cox RJ. No innocent deposits: forming archives by rethinking appraisal. Lanham, Md: : Scarecrow Press 2004.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=AberystUni&isbn=9781417503704>

63

The National Archives. Operational selection policies.

<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/information-management/projects-and-work/osp-number.htm>

64

Ide, M., Weisse, L. Recommended appraisal guidelines for selecting born digital master programs for preservation and deposit with the Library of Congress. 2006.

http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/partners/documents/pdpt_appraisal_guidelines2006.pdf

65

Eastwood, T. Reflections on the goal of archival appraisal in democratic societies. Archivaria 2002;**54**

.<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12855/14080>

66

Booms, Hans. Society and the formation of a documentary heritage: Issues in the appraisal of archival sources. *Archivaria* 1987;**24**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11415/12357

67

Williams, Caroline. Studying reality: the application of theory in an aspect of UK practice. *Archivaria* 2006;**62**.https://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12889/14120

68

Jenkinson H. The choice of records for preservation in wartime: some practical hints. In: *Selected writings of Sir Hilary Jenkinson*. 1980.

69

Mercer, H. The National Archives appraisal policy: Background paper – the Grigg system and beyond. 2004.
http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/background_appraisal.pdf

70

Schellenberg, T.R. The appraisal of modern public records. *National Archives bulletin* 8. 1956.
http://www.archives.gov/research/alic/reference/archives-resources/appraisal-of-records.html

71

Duranti, Luciana. The concept of appraisal and archival theory. *American Archivist* 1994;**57**
.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293824

72

Hackman, L.J. The documentation strategy process: A model and a case study. *American Archivist* 1987;**50**.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294347

73

Cox, R.J. The end of collecting: Towards a new purpose for archival appraisal. *Archival Science* 2002;**2**.<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02435626>

74

Bearman, D., Lytle, R. The power and principle of provenance. *Archivaria* 1985;**21**.<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11231/12170>

75

Day M. The selection, appraisal and retention of digital scientific data: the ERPANET / CODATA workshop. *Ariadne* Published Online First: 2004.<http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue39/erpanet-rpt/>

76

Hull, F. The use of sampling techniques in the retention of records: A RAMP study with guidelines. 1981. <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0004/000474/047452e.pdf>

77

Foote, K.E. To remember and forget: Archives, memory, and culture. *American Archivist* 1990;**53**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293469>

78

Cook T. We are what we keep: We keep what we are: Archival appraisal past, present and future. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2011;**32**.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379816.2011.619688>

79

Cook T. What is past is prologue: A history of archival ideas since 1898 and the future paradigm shift. *Archivaria* 1997;**43**.<http://www.mybestdocs.com/cookt-pastprologue-ar43fnl.htm>

80

Samuels, H.W. Who controls the past. *American Archivist* 1986;**49**
. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40292980>

81

Momryk, Myron. "National significance ": The evolution and development of acquisition strategies in the manuscript division , National Archives of Canada. *Archivaria: the Journal of the Association of Canadian Archivists* 2001;**52**
. <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12819/14031>

82

Yakel, Elizabeth. Archival representation. *Archival Science* 2003;**3**
. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02438926>

83

Cook T. Archival science and postmodernism: New formulations for old concepts. *Archival Science* 2000;**1**. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2FBF02435636.pdf>

84

Nesmith T, Society of American Archivists, Association of Canadian Archivists. *Archival studies in English-speaking Canada and the North American rediscovery of provenance*. In: *Canadian archival studies and the rediscovery of provenance*. Metuchen, N.J.: : Scarecrow Press 1993.

85

Scott, Peter J, Finlay, G. *Archives and Administrative Change: Some Methods and Approaches (Part I)*. *Archives and Manuscripts* 1978;**7**.

86

Cook, Terry, Schwartz, Joan M. *Archives, records and power: From (postmodern) theory to*

(archival) performance. *Archival Science* 2002;**2**
.http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02435620

87

Miller FM. Arranging and describing archives and manuscripts. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 1990.

88

Goldman R. Derangement and Description: A chaotic little archives webcomic.
<https://derangementanddescription.wordpress.com/>

89

Boles, F. Disrespecting original order. *American Archivist* 1982;**45**
.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40292457

90

Cook, Terry. Fashionable nonsense or professional rebirth: Postmodernism and the practice of archives. *Archivaria* 2001;**51**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12792/13989

91

Clanchy MT. From memory to written record: England 1066-1307. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Wiley-Blackwell 2013.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=AberystUni&isbn=9781118295991>

92

Meehan J. Making the Leap from Parts to Whole: Evidence and Inference in Archival Arrangement and Description. *American Archivist* 2009;**72**
.http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40294596.pdf

93

Muller S, Feith JA, Fruin R. Manual for the arrangement and description of archives. 2nd ed. New York: : Wilson 1968.

94

Schellenberg TR. Modern archives: principles and techniques. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 2003.

95

Anchor R. 'More product less process': method, madness or practice? Archives & Records 2013;**34**.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/23257962.2013.818937>

96

Brothman, Brien. Orders of value: Probing the theoretical terms of archival practice. Archivaria 1991;**32**
. <http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11761/12711>

97

Macneil, Heather. Picking our text: Archival description, authenticity, and the archivist as editor. American Archivist 2005;**68**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294291>

98

Dwiggins DM. Putting the 'Where' in the Archives: Internet Mapping and Archival Records. Library Student Journal. 2010.<http://web.archive.org/web/20130411082237/http://www.librarystudentjournal.org/index.php/lstj/article/view/112/275>

99

Parker, Elizabeth. Records life cycle structure study. 2002.<https://web.archive.org/web/20110126043435/http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/generalpublications/2002/recordssrlstructure.aspx#B>

100

Wells E. Related Material – The Arrangement and Description of Family Papers. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2012;**33**:167–84. doi:10.1080/00379816.2012.722528

101

Duff W, Harris V. Stories and names: Archival description as narrating records and constructing meanings. *Archival Science* 2002;**2**
.http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02435625

102

Cook T. The concept of the archival fonds in the post-custodial era: Theory, problems and solutions. *Archivaria* 1993;**35**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11882/12835

103

Millar, Laura. The death of the fonds and the resurrection of provenance: Archival context in space and time. *Archivaria* 2002;**53**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12833/14048

104

Horsman, Peter. The last dance of the phoenix, or the re-discovery of the archival fonds. *Archivaria* 2002;**54**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12853/14076

105

Hurley C. The making and keeping of records: (1) What are finding aids for. *Archives and Manuscripts* 1998;**26**
.http://descriptionguy.com/images/WEBSITE/the-making-and-the-keeping-of-records-part1.pdf

106

Duranti L. Origin and development of the concept of description. *Archivaria* 1993;**35**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11884/12837

107

Bearman D, Lytle R. The power and principle of provenance. *Archivaria* 1985;**21**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11231/12170

108

Scott PJ. The record group concept: A case for abandonment. *American Archivist* 1966;**29**
.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40290645

109

International Dunhuang Project. The Silk Road online. <http://idp.bl.uk/>

110

Duchemin, Michel. Theoretical principles and practical problems of respect des fonds in archival science. *Archivaria* 1983;**16**
.http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12648/13813

111

Cook, Terry. What is past is prologue: A history of archival ideas since 1898 and the future paradigm shift. *Archivaria* 1997;**43**
.http://www.mybestdocs.com/cookt-pastprologue-ar43fnl.htm

112

Beattie, Heather. Where narratives meet: Archival description, provenance, and women's diaries. *Libraries and the Cultural Record* 2009;**44**
.http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/libraries_and_culture/v044/44.1.beattie.html

113

Kaplan, Deborah, Sauer, Anne, Wilczek, Eliot. Archival description in OAI-ORE. Journal of Digital Information 2011;**12**.<http://journals.tdl.org/jodi/article/view/1814/1769>

114

Yakel, Elizabeth. Archival representation. Archival Science 2003;**3**
.<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02438926>

115

Hensen SL. Archives, personal papers, and manuscripts: a cataloging manual for archival repositories, historical societies, and manuscript libraries. Washington, D.C.: : [Manuscript Division, Library of Congress] 1983.

116

Miller FM. Arranging and describing archives and manuscripts. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 1990.

117

O'Brien, Jeff. Basic RAD: A short introduction.
1997.https://www.scaa.sk.ca/fileadmin/scaa/storage/800-Education/804-Educational_Publications/Basic_rad.pdf

118

Weber LB. Record Formatting: MARC AMC. In: Describing Archival Materials: The Use of the Marc AMC Format. Routledge 1990.

119

Australian Society of Archivists. Committee on Descriptive Standards. Describing archives in context: a guide to Australian practice. [S.l.]: : Australian Society of Archivists, Committee on Descriptive Standards 2005.

120

Peters V. Developing archival context standards for functions in the higher education sector. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2005;**26**
.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00039810500047458

121

Bunn J. Developing descriptive standards: a renewed call to action. *Archives and Records*. 2013;**34**.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/23257962.2013.830066

122

EAD Working Group. Development of the encoded archival description DTD. 1998.http://www.loc.gov/ead/eaddev.html

123

van Ballegooie M, Duff W. Digital Curation Manual: Instalment on archival metadata. 2006.http://www.dcc.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/resource/curation-manual/chapter s/archival-metadata/archival-metadata.pdf

124

Technical Subcommittee on Encoded Archival Description. EAD revision. 2013.https://www2.archivists.org/groups/technical-subcommittee-on-encoded-archival-description-ead-disbanded/ead-revision

125

Goss S. Facilitating access to archival material: The creation of EAD records for the online archive of California. *PNLA Quarterly* 2013;**77**
.https://pnla.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/volume-77-2.pdf

126

Gateway to Archives of Scottish Higher Education (GASHE). GASHE standard for creating activity descriptions. 2006.http://www.gashe.ac.uk/about/standard.html

127

Archives and Records Association. Guide to standards.
<http://www.archives.org.uk/si-dsg/guide-to-standards.html>

128

Stevenson, A, Stevenson, J. Lifting the lid on linked data: Linked data and the LOCAH project. 2011.<http://locah.archiveshub.ac.uk/tag/modelling/>

129

Hooland S van, Verborgh R. Linked data for libraries, archives and museums: how to clean, link and publish your metadata. London: : Facet Publishing 2014.

130

Shepherd, Elizabeth, Pringle, R. Mapping descriptive standards across domains: A comparison of ISAD(G) and SPECTRUM. Journal of the Society of Archivists 2002;**23**.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810220120537>

131

Procter M, Cook M. Manual of archival description. Third edition. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2016.
https://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&p;package_service_id=4634718840002418&institutionId=2418&customerId=2415

132

Anarchivist. My Journey With Encoded Archival Description.
14AD.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IbRjil4yeDU>

133

Yeo, Geoffrey. New approaches to archival description Standards for archival description "The need for descriptive standards is no longer a subject for debate ".
2008.<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/infostudies/geoffrey-yeo/description.pdf>

134

Walch, V. I. Standards for archival description: A handbook.
1994.<http://www.archivists.org/catalog/stds99/>

135

The Society of American Archivists. Statement of principles for the CUSTARD Project.
<http://www.archivists.org/news/custardproject.asp>

136

Ruch A. Supporting interoperability of distributed archives using authority controlled ontologies.
https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web∓cd=1&ved=2ahUKEwjrm42J8-zdAhWJK8AKHekwATgQFjAAegQICRAC&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.alliancepermanentaccess.org%2Fpv%2Fpv2009%2FPV2009%2F43_Ruch_Interoperability_in_distributed_archives_with_Authority_controlled_Ontologies_Proceedings.pdf&usg=AOvVaw0GIBaBDDhoYV1f21p8TL0S

137

Shepherd, Elizabeth, Smith, C. The application of ISAD(G) to the description of archival datasets. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2000;**21**
[.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810050006911](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810050006911)

138

Hurley C. The Australian ('series') system: An exposition. In: *The Records Continuum: Ian Maclean and Australian Archives First Fifty Years: Ian Maclean's and Australian Archives First Fifty Years*. Parkes: : National Archives of Australia
<http://descriptionguy.com/images/WEBSITE/the-australian-%28Series%29-system.pdf>

139

Caswell M. Using classification to convict the Khmer Rouge. *Journal of Documentation* 2012;**68**:162–84. doi:10.1108/00220411211209177

140

Zhang J, Mauney D. When archival description meets digital object metadata: A typological study of digital archival representation. *The American Archivist* 2013;**76**
.https://watermark.silverchair.com/aarc_76_1_121u85342062w155.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kkhW_Ercy7Dm3ZL_9Cf3qfKAc485ysgAAAYkwggMlBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggMWMIIDEgIBADCCAwSGCSqGSIb3DQEHATAeBgIghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMUC4gQxGvd4hpu5LoAgEQgIIC3BN6q5cj7xPcY2EEV_4GjN0xjAB9dtx_4rtqJvQhDSg8WvGw-oyfLnOdUblcKh9nBjcvV_DkuDQGNVhfTzCajmHDBGdm98v7DgELAFOZIF5vKWzFb9EGZbUee0dshwQfV9L3tIpRUFV9qJI0Y2pn36rlsKhdEqnWVsab7mFgQ9Rd3QmCv610wvXK33kFBc5U6aBKgF3q0GIG_2zTnsFy_TLkrwG2fQMLUVu60JRRMZ-xbxhlf_HPxnasPXLRA5ppANW4CRqO3nvhx77f4q_UCfAdkzrXt1zf1LZR3tuORGU7IlwPy7yR4rExTbEGRiLTV_CvQL8slk2NntSi2eKTy6h4k28kCPWpowGeAf2I-zM0uKDsvFU2vlam4s7jtq0DMR1GKDv1eA09FckAONHYB5KxzMcCNbSmcPZ0nN4LLqISDPeqSdEYK1rr9psfn4EpBvfEHeofZT8ZDTqWJv4tOvKBM9o7nvTtHIDwPYhKL-MV2rezskJBFBsfXE4dFEP4KuFivUk-deUcrM80L0uWuUikKuNJG5Lyg4Ijv9T7YG17d3bOOXcQMfPHLdF8XFrkRzq3vbkVB77CCZygwkcNq0gdFEer9KKhFuacaFFgJoV-wud5iXBkpwi9J0aGfwF8nKP-Ti5i9X4WrthDnkAkvs2wP218kp7NtLbssPXlkjs3hvVAyQCFeL3uhoH-_0OecKrpMG23HMtmIpzS44OTpGWGXpd8rDwJt9qHSCx9vzP2e1OlxvjgxA-lo1izFdA-0qnZ-QaP6wcXFDWe4Einb-VD8mW4vldyf96SxkUnYx-3nt4MHgHlCGS4kQKml_gCi0Cj2yaMAaCLogxXfZak3nPpp6SZVTA4DImZ9zBhyhXoqqYwPr-FjEv9UqLhFFC19KKiY9W0V1PU2IJNuaagHpxVOQwKASXefauFj-lelFJH1bdgilUwcGmNf7P_eoGWZ42XqtF0-rtcw

141

Mortimer, I. ARCHON and the indexes to the National Register of Archives: Past, present and future. Program: Electronic Libraries and Information Systems 1999;**33**
.http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/EUM0000000006918

142

N2T. Archival resource key (ARK) identifiers. http://n2t.net/e/ark_ids.html

143

Getty Research Institute. Art and architecture thesaurus online.
2000.<http://www.getty.edu/research/tools/vocabularies/aat/index.html>

144

W3C. Date and time formats. 1997.<http://www.w3.org/TR/NOTE-datetime>

145

Local Government Association. Integrated public sector vocabulary.
<https://standards.esd.org.uk/?uri=list%2Fsubjects>

146

International Council on Archives. ISAAR (CPF): International standard archival authority record for corporate bodies, persons and families, 2nd Edition. 2011.
<https://www.ica.org/en/isaar-cpf-international-standard-archival-authority-record-corporate-bodies-persons-and-families-2nd>

147

Library of Congress. Library of congress authorities. <http://authorities.loc.gov>

148

National Council on Archives. National Council on Archives: Rules for the Construction of Personal, Place and Corporate Names. 1997.
<http://archiveshub.ac.uk/ncarules/>

149

Davidson, J. Persistent identifiers. 2006.
<http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/briefing-papers/introduction-curation/persistent-identifiers>

150

Cox, E, Czechowski, L. Subject access points in the MARC record and archival finding aid: Enough or too many? *Journal of Archival Organization* 2007;**5**
[.http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1017&context=morris_articles](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1017&context=morris_articles)

151

Ribeiro, F. Subject indexing and authority control in archives: The need for subject indexing in archives and for an indexing policy using controlled language. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 1996;**17**.

152

DOI. The DOI system: Factsheets. 2011.<http://www.doi.org/factsheets.html>

153

Vitali, S. The second edition of ISAAR(CPF) and authority control in systems for archival descriptive systems. http://www.sba.unifi.it/ac/relazioni/vitali_eng.pdf

154

University of London Computer Centre. UK archival thesaurus. <http://www.ukat.org.uk/>

155

UNESCO. UNESCO thesaurus. <http://databases.unesco.org/thesaurus/>

156

Garrod, P. Use of the UNESCO thesaurus for subject indexing at UK NDAD. Journal of the Society of Archivists 2000;**21**
[.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810050006902](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810050006902)

157

Corporation for National Research Initiatives. Welcome to the handle system.
<http://www.handle.net>

158

Higgins, Richard. A case study of EAD implementation at Durham University Library Archives and Special Collections. Archives and Museum Informatics 1998;**12**
[.http://www.springerlink.com/content/n2xj61088p021702/fulltext.pdf](http://www.springerlink.com/content/n2xj61088p021702/fulltext.pdf)

159

Society of American Archivists. A different kind of web: new connections between archives and our users. Chicago: : Society of American Archivists 2011.

160

Morris, L. Developing a cooperative intra-institutional approach to EAD implementation: The Harvard/Radcliffe digital findings aids project. *American Archivist* 1997;**60**.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40294056.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

161

EAD Working Group. Development of the encoded archival description DTD. 1998.
<http://www.loc.gov/ead/eaddev.html>

162

Hill, Amanda, Stocking, William, Higgins, Sarah. Different strokes for different folks: Presenting EAD in three UK online catalogues. *Journal of Archival Organization* 2006;:183–95.
http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1300/J201v03n02_14

163

Kiesling, K. EAD as an archival descriptive standard. *American Archivist* 1997;**60**.

164

Encoded Archival Context Working Group. Encoded archival context: Corporate bodies, persons and families. 2011.
<http://eac.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/>

165

Pitti, Daniel. Encoded Archival Description. An introduction and overview. *D-Lib Magazine* 1999;**5**.
<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/november99/11pitti.html>

166

Stocking, William, Queyroux, F. Encoding across frontiers. *Journal of Archival Organization*

3 (2/3) 2004.

167

EAD Working Group. Encoded archival description tag library version 2002 - EAD technical document No. 2. 2002.<http://www.loc.gov/ead/tglib/index.html>

168

Johnston, D. From typescript finding aids to EAD (Encoded Archival Description). A university case study. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2001;**22**.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810120037496>

169

Committee of Inquiry into the Changing Learner Experience. Higher education in a Web 2.0 world. 2009. <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/publications/heweb20rptv1.pdf>

170

Bouché, Nicole. Implementing EAD in the Yale University Library. *American Archivist* 1997;**60**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294057>

171

Higgins S, Inglis G. Implementing EAD: the experience of the NAHSTE project. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2003;**24**:199–214. doi:10.1080/0037981032000127052

172

Fox, M. Implementing encoded archival description: An overview of administrative and technical considerations. *American Archivist* 1997;**60**.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294441>

173

King, K. Introduction to Web 2.0 for archives.

<http://www.slideshare.net/araik/web-20-and-archives>

174

EAD Practices Working Group. Library of Congress Encoded Archival Description best practices. 2008. <http://www.loc.gov/rr/ead/lcp/lcp.pdf>

175

Miller DR, Clarke KS, ebrary, Inc. Putting XML to work in the library: tools for improving access and management. Chicago: : American Library Association 2004.
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/aber/Doc?id=10194636>

176

RLG EAD Advisory Group. RLG best practice guidelines for encoded archival description. 2002. <http://www.oclc.org/resources/research/activities/ead/bpg.pdf>

177

Hajo, C. Scholarly editing in a Web 2.0 world.
2009.<https://wp.nyu.edu/archivesandpublichistory/people-2/cathy-moran-hajo/scholarly-editing-in-a-web-2-0-world/>

178

Prom, Chris. The EAD cookbook. A survey and usability study. American Archivist 2002;**65**.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294209>

179

Stevenson, J. The impact of Web 2.0 on archives.
2010.<http://www.slideshare.net/JaneStevenson/the-impact-of-web-20-on-archives>

180

Daines JG, Nimer CL. The Interactive Archivist.

2009.<http://interactivearchivist.archivists.org/>

181

Sweet, Meg. The internationalisation of EAD (Encoded Archival Description). *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2001;**22**
. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00379810120037487>

182

Theimer KM. *Web 2.0 tools and strategies for archives and local history collections*.
London: : Facet 2010.

183

Stockdale, R. The retrospective conversion of the British Library manuscripts catalogues: A description of the project. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2000;**21**
. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/713683213>

184

Tennant R. *XML in libraries*. New York: : Neal-Schuman Publishers 2002.

185

Godby CJ, Young JA, Childress E. A Repository of Metadata Crosswalks. *D-Lib Magazine*. 2004;**10**. doi:10.1045/december2004-godby

186

Archives and Records Council Wales. *Archives Network Wales project evaluation: Results of a user survey , July-August 2005*. 2005. <http://anwc.llgc.org.uk/pdfs/onlineuser.pdf>

187

Kingsley, Nick. *Archives Task Force Discussion paper 3: The Electronic "National Archives Network"*. 2003.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20111013135435/research.mla.gov.uk/evidence/documents/atf_dis03_pdf_5514.pdf

188

Hill, Amanda. Bringing archives online with the Archives Hub. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* 2002;**23**.<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0037981022000006408>

189

Home, Rebecca. Crowdsourcing brings historical archive online. 2011.<http://blogs.wsj.com/photojournal/2011/10/20/crowdsourcing-brings-historical-archive-online/>

190

Holley, Rose. Crowdsourcing: How and why should libraries do it? *D-Lib Magazine* 2010;**16**.<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/march10/holley/03holley.print.html>

191

Holley, Rose. Crowdsourcing strategies for archives. 2010.<http://www.slideshare.net/RHmarvellous/naa-archives-20-week-roseholleycrowdsourcingnov-2010>

192

Europeana: Think Culture. Europeana: Think culture: Strategic plan 2011-2015. 2012. http://web.archive.org/web/20120207083048/http://pro.europeana.eu/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=c4f19464-7504-44db-ac1e-3ddb78c922d7&groupId=10602

193

Europeana: Think Culture. Explore Europe's cultural collections. <http://www.europeana.eu/portal/>

194

Alexander, Martha Latika, Gautam, J.N. Interoperability and open archives initiative protocol for metadata harvesting (OAI-PMH). 2004.
http://ir.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/1944/352/1/04cali_44.pdf

195

Archives Task Force. Listening to the past, Speaking to the future: Report of the Archives Task Force. 2004.
http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20111013135435/http://research.mla.gov.uk/evidence/documents/atf_report_pdf_5479.pdf

196

Chan, L, Zeng, M. Metadata interoperability and standardization: a study of methodology part 1: Achieving interoperability at schema level. D-Lib Magazine 2006;**12**.
<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/june06/chan/06chan.html>

197

Zeng, M, Chan, L. Metadata interoperability and standardization - A study of methodology part II: Achieving interoperability at the record and repository levels. D-Lib Magazine 2006;**12**.
<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/june06/zeng/06zeng.html>

198

Day, Michael. Metadata mapping between metadata formats. 2002.
<http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/metadata/interoperability/>

199

Stevenson, Jane,, Ruddock, Bethan. Moving towards interoperability: Experiences of the Archives Hub. Ariadne 2010;**63**.
<http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue63/stevenson-ruddock>

200

Craig, Barbara L. Perimeters with fences? Or thresholds with doors? Two views of a border. American Archivist 2003;**66**.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40294219>

201

Hill, Amanda. Serving the invisible researcher: meeting the needs of online users. Journal of the Society of Archivists 2004;**25**
.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0037981042000271466

202

Holley, Rose. Stories to tell: The making of our digital nation.
2010.http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a19icvJO_HE

203

Online Archive of California. The Online Archive of California (OAC) Project: A prototype union database of encoded archival finding aids, 1998.
1998.http://sunsite.berkeley.edu/FindingAids/uc-ead/

204

Mildren, Rob. The Scottish Archive Network Project evaluation report. 2004.
http://www.scan.org.uk/aboutus/report.pdf

205

UK Archives Discovery Network. UKAD: Promoting archives discovery for the benefit of researchers. https://web.archive.org/web/20160322095757/http://www.ukad.org/

206

Yeo, Geoffrey. Understanding users and use: A market segmentation approach. Journal of the Society of Archivists 2005;**26**
.http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00039810500047425

207

George Washington University. University writing class remembers holocaust victims.
2010.http://gwtoday.gwu.edu/university-writing-class-remembers-holocaust-victims

208

ArchivalSoftware. <http://archivalsoftware.pbworks.com/w/page/13600254/FrontPage>

209

Spiro L. Archival Management Software: a Report for the Council on Library and Information Resources.

2009.https://www.clir.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/spiro_Jan13.pdf

210

Council of Nova Scotia Archives'. Archives Management Software Review.

2009.<http://www.councilofnsarchives.ca/sites/default/files/CNSA%20Software%20Review%202008-Final.pdf>

211

CDL Digital Special Collections. Collection Management and Creation Strategies for UC Special Collections and Archives.

2009.http://www.cdlib.org/services/access_publishing/dsc/tools/docs/cdams_summary_report.pdf

212

Shapley M. The ANU Archives implementation of ica-atom software.

2014.<https://digitalcollections.anu.edu.au/bitstream/1885/12285/1/Shapley%20The%20ANU%20Archives%20implementation%20of%20ica-atom%20software%202014.pdf>

213

National Information Standards Organization (NISO). A framework of guidance for building good digital collections, 3rd edition.

2007;:4-25.<https://www.niso.org/sites/default/files/2017-08/framework3.pdf>

214

Stobo, Victoria. Copyright and risk: Scoping the Wellcome Digital Library Project. 2013.<http://www.create.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/CREATE-Working-Paper-No.10.pdf>

215

Padfield T, Post JB, Great Britain. Public Record Office. Copyright for archivists and users of archives. Richmond, Surrey: : Public Record Office 2001.

216

National Library of New Zealand. Creating a digital New Zealand: New Zealand's digital content strategy. 2007.<http://www.itu.int/wsis/stocktaking/docs/activities/1211236218/DigitalContentStrategy.pdf>

217

Smith L, Rowley J. Digitisation of local heritage: Local studies collections and digitisation in public libraries. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 2012;**44**:272–80. doi:10.1177/0961000611434760

218

Wajon, Scott. Digitisation workflow. 2012.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y8MliMunVVg>

219

Hughes L, Green D. Digitizing collections: strategic issues for the information manager. London: : Facet 2002.

220

Dunning A. Digitizing the past: next steps for public sector digitization. In: *Digital Information: Order or Anarchy?* Facet Publishing 2009. 117–32.

221

New South Wales Government State Records. Equipment for digitisation.
<http://web.archive.org/web/20221116152919/https://www.records.nsw.gov.au/recordkeeping/equipment-digitisation>

222

Pancza, János. Hungarian National Digital Archive and Hungarian participation in Europeana. <https://www.slideshare.net/slideshow/jnos-pancza-italy-nm/8624247>

223

Barry L, Tedd LA. Local studies collections online: an investigation in Irish public libraries. Program 2008;**42**
[.http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/00330330810867710](http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/00330330810867710)

224

Daniel I. New digitisation workflow proposal.
2009.http://dev.llgc.org.uk/wiki/images/b/b8/New_WF_proposal_v1.1.pdf

225

Bu

low A, Ahmon J, Spencer R, et al. Preparing collections for digitization. London: : Facet, in association with the National Archives 2011.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/Vleweb/Product/Index/183183?page=0>

226

Riley, Jenn. Seeing standards: A visualization of the metadata universe.
2010.<http://jennriley.com/metadatamap/>

227

Stratton, Barbara. Seeking new landscapes: A rights clearance study in the context of mass digitisation of 140 books published between 1870 and 2010. 2010.

https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web∓cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwjV5KTo9-zdAhUELMAKHUR6ArcQFjAAegQICRAC&url=http%3A%2F%2Fvana.nlib.ee%2Fpublic%2Fdocuments%2Ffraamatukogule%2Forbteoste_uurimus2011.pdf&usg=AOvVaw3Y9UPenFHjCeDYMakgU1Dl

228

Vogt-O'Connor D. Selection of materials for scanning. In: Sitts MK, ed. Handbook for digital projects: a management tool for preservation and access. 2000.
<http://www.nedcc.org/assets/media/documents/dman.pdf>

229

University of Nottingham. The Wollaton Library Collection episode 3: Digitisation. 2012.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2LznschQXH0>

230

Padfield T. What is copyright? In: Copyright for archivists and records managers. London: : Facet Publishing 2019.
https://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=4606163920002418&institutionId=2418&customerId=2415

231

Edina Data Centre. What is metadata? 2011.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=96ncNPpohjo>

232

Hughes L. Why digitize? The costs and benefits of digitization. In: Digitizing collections: strategic issues for the information manager. London: : Facet 2002. 4–30.

233

McGovern NY. A Digital Decade: Where Have We Been and Where Are We Going in Digital Preservation? RLG DigiNews 2007;**11**

.<http://worldcat.org/arcviewer/1/OCC/2007/08/08/0000070511/viewer/file1981.html#article3>

234

The Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. Audit and Certification of Trustworthy Digital Repositories. 2011.<http://public.ccsds.org/publications/archive/652x0m1.pdf>

235

Halbert M. Comparison of Strategies and Policies for Building Distributed Digital Preservation Infrastructure: Initial Findings from the MetaArchive Cooperative. International Journal of Digital Curation 2009;**4**:43-59. doi:10.2218/ijdc.v4i2.92

236

Digital Curation Centre. Curation Reference Manual | Digital Curation Centre. <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/curation-reference-manual>

237

Brown A. Developing Practical Approaches to Active Preservation. International Journal of Digital Curation 2007;**2**:3-11. doi:10.2218/ijdc.v2i1.10

238

Harvey DR. Digital curation: a how-to-do-it manual. London: : Facet 2010.

239

Rusbridge C. Excuse Me... Some Digital Preservation Fallacies? Ariadne Published Online First: 2006.<http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue46/rusbridge/>

240

Brown A. Practical digital preservation: a how-to guide for organizations of any size.

London: : Facet Publishing 2013.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=AberystUni&isbn=9781856049627>

241

PREMIS Editorial Committee. PREMIS Data Dictionary for Preservation Metadata, Version 3.0. 2015.<http://www.loc.gov/standards/premis/v3/premis-3-0-final.pdf>

242

Consultative Committee for Space Data System Practices. Reference model for an open archival information system (OAIS). 2012.<https://public.ccsds.org/pubs/650x0m2.pdf>

243

Blue Ribbon Task Force. Sustainable Economies for a Digital Planet: Ensuring Long-Term Access to Digital Information. 2010.<https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/19116/1/19116.pdf>

244

Lavoie, Brian F. Technology watch report: The Open Archival Information System Reference Model: Introductory guide, 2nd Edition. 2014.<http://dx.doi.org/10.7207/twr14-02>

245

Higgins S. The DCC curation lifecycle model. The International Journal of Digital Curation 2008;**3**:134–40.<http://www.ijdc.net/article/view/69>

246

Currall J, Johnson C, McKinney P. The world is all grown digital.... How shall a man persuade management what to do in such times? International Journal of Digital Curation 2007;**2**:12–28. doi:10.2218/ijdc.v2i1.11

247

Paradigm Project. Workbook on digital private papers.
2008.<http://www.paradigm.ac.uk/workbook/index.html>