

ILM1920: Archives: Collection Development and Description

[View Online](#)

1.

Appraisal and acquisition: innovative practices for archives and special collections. (Rowman & Littlefield, 2015).

2.

Roe, K. Arranging & describing archives & manuscripts. vol. Archival fundamentals series. II (Society of American Archivists, 2005).

3.

Description. vol. Innovative practices for archives and special collections (Rowman & Littlefield, 2014).

4.

International Council on Archives. International Council on Archives code of ethics. <http://www.ica.org/en/ica-code-ethics> (1996).

5.

International Council on Archives. ISAD(G): General international standard archival description. <https://www.ica.org/resource/isadg-general-international-standard-archival-description-second-edition/> (2000).

6.

Williams, C. Managing archives: foundations, principles and practice. vol. Chandos information professional series (Chandos, 2006).

7.

Robyns, M. C. Using functional analysis in archival appraisal: a practical and effective alternative to traditional appraisal methodologies. (Rowman & Littlefield, 2014).

8.

Jenkinson, H. A manual of archive administration. (Lund, Humphries, 1965).

9.

Arts Council England. Acceptance in Lieu.
<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/tax-incentives/acceptance-lieu>.

10.

The National Archives. Applying for Grant Aid. (2004).

11.

The National Archives. Archive Collection Policy Statements: Checklist of Suggested Contents. (2004).

12.

Abraham, T. Collection policy or documentation strategy: theory and practice. American Archivist **54**, (1991).

13.

Kitching, C. & Hart, I. Collection policy statements. Journal of the Society of Archivists **16**, 7-14 (1995).

14.

Cultural Gifts Scheme and Acceptance in Lieu - report 2021. (2021).

15.

Abraham, T. Documentary Strategies: A Decade (or More) Later.
<http://webpages.uidaho.edu/special-collections/papers/docstr10.htm> (1995).

16.

Department for Digital, Culture Media & Sport. Export of Objects of Cultural Interest 2018-19 and 2019-20. (2021).

17.

Arts Council England. Export licensing.
<http://www.artscouncil.org.uk/what-we-do/supporting-museums/cultural-property/export-controls/export-licensing/>.

18.

Goodison, N. Goodison Review securing the best for our museums: Private giving and Government support. (2004).

19.

The National Archives. Loan (deposit) agreements for privately owned archives. (2006).

20.

Tullock, J. & Alexandra, C. Logjam: an audit of uncatalogued collections in the North West. (2004).

21.

Sims, R. M. C. Obligations and aftercare: the depositor and service standard agreements. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **24**, 215-221 (2003).

22.

Arts Council England. Reviewing committee.

<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk/supporting-collections-and-cultural-property/reviewing-committee>.

23.

The National Archives. Surveying historical manuscripts: some guidelines. (2006).

24.

HM Government. Tax relief for national heritage assets - Detailed guidance.

<https://www.gov.uk/tax-relief-for-national-heritage-assets> (2013).

25.

Hackman, L. J. & Warnow-Blewett, J. The documentation strategy process: a model and a case study. *American Archivist* **50**, 12–47 (1987).

26.

Dicken, J. Twentieth century literary archives: Collecting policies and research initiatives. in *New directions in archival research* (Liverpool University Centre for Archive Studies, 2000).

27.

Helen Willa Samuels. Who controls the past. *American Archivist* **49**, (1986).

28.

Tschan, R. A. A comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on appraisal. *American Archivist* **65**, (2002).

29.

Larkin, P. A neglected responsibility: contemporary literary manuscripts. in Required writing: miscellaneous pieces 1955-1982 (Faber, 1983).

30.

The National Archives. Acquisition and disposition strategy.
<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/acquisition-strategy.pdf> (2007).

31.

Cook, Terry. Appraisal methodology: Macro appraisal and functional analysis. Part A: Concept and theory. (2000).

32.

Menne-Haritz, A. Appraisal or documentation: Can we appraise archives by selecting content? *American Archivist* **57**, (1994).

33.

The National Archives. Appraisal policy.
http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/appraisal_policy.pdf (2012).

34.

The National Archives. Appraisal toolkits.

35.

Baerlett, N. Appraising university records: A retrospective analysis. (2001).

36.

Reed, B. Archival appraisal. in Keeping archives (Thorpe in association with the Australian Society of Archivists, 1993).

37.

Boles, F. & Young, J. M. Archival appraisal. (Neal-Schuman Publishers, 1991).

38.

Couture, C. Archival appraisal, a status report. *Archivaria* **59**, (1995).

39.

Craig, B. L. & Thomson Gale (Firm). Archival appraisal: theory and practice. (K.G. Saur, 2004).

40.

Ham, F. G. Archival choices: Managing the historical record in an age of abundance. *American Archivist* **47**, (1984).

41.

Brichford, M. J. & Society of American Archivists. Archives and manuscripts: appraisal and accessioning. vol. Basic manual series (Society of Archivists, 1977).

42.

Jimerson, R. Archives and manuscripts: deciding what to save. *OCLC Systems & Services* **19**, (2003).

43.

Walters, T. O. Contemporary archival appraisal methods and preservation decision-making. *American Archivist* **59**, (1996).

44.

Pearce, N. Deciding what to save: Fifty years of theory and practice. in Archival choices: managing the historical record in an age of abundance vol. The Lexington Books special series in libraries and librarianship 1-18 (Lexington Books, 1984).

45.

Harvey, Ross. Digital curation manual instalment on appraisal and selection.
http://www.dcc.ac.uk/webfm_send/121 (2007).

46.

Abraham, Terry. Documentation strategies: A decade (or more) later. (1995).

47.

Greene, M. A. & Daniels-Howell, T. J. Documentation with an attitude: A pragmatist's guide to the selection and acquisition to modern business records. in The records of American business (Society of American Archivists, 1997).

48.

Jimerson, R. Embracing the power of archives. The American Archivist **69**, (2006).

49.

Boles, F. & Greene, M.A. Et tu Schellenberg? Thoughts on the dagger of American appraisal theory. American Archivist **59**, (1996).

50.

Doylen, Michael. Experiments in deaccessioning: Archives and online auctions. American Archivist **64**, (2001).

51.

Boles, F. Exploring the black box: The appraisal of university administrative records. *American Archivist* **48**, (1985).

52.

Bailey, C. From top down: The practice of macro-appraisal. *Archivaria* **43**, (1997).

53.

Whyte, Angus & Wilson, Andrew. How to appraise and select research data for curation. <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/how-guides/appraise-select-data> (2010).

54.

Benedict, K. Invitation to a bonfire: Reappraisal and de-accessioning of records as collection management tools in an Archives – A reply to Leonard Rapport. *American Archivist* **47**, (1984).

55.

Stapleton, R. Jenkinson and Schellenberg: a comparison. *Archivaria* **17**, (1983).

56.

Cook, Terry. Macro-appraisal and functional analysis: documenting governance rather than government. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **25**, (2004).

57.

Brown, R. Macro-appraisal theory and the context of the public records creator. *Archivaria* **40**, (1995).

58.

Cook, Terry. Macroappraisal in theory and practice: Origins, characteristics and implementation in Canada, 1950-2000. *Archival Science* **4**, (2005).

59.

Boles, F. Mix two parts interest to one part information and appraise until done: Understanding contemporary record selection processes. *American Archivist* **50**, (1987).

60.

Schellenberg, T. R. *Modern archives: principles and techniques*. (Society of American Archivists, 2003).

61.

Jenkinson, H. *Modern archives. Some reflections on T R Schellenberg. Selected writings of Sir Hilary Jenkinson* (1980).

62.

Cox, R. J. *No innocent deposits: forming archives by rethinking appraisal*. (Scarecrow Press, 2004).

63.

The National Archives. *Operational selection policies*.

64.

Ide, M. & Weisse, L. *Recommended appraisal guidelines for selecting born digital master programs for preservation and deposit with the Library of Congress*.
http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/partners/documents/pdpt_appraisal_guidelines2006.pdf
(2006).

65.

Eastwood, T. Reflections on the goal of archival appraisal in democratic societies. *Archivaria* **54**, (2002).

66.

Booms, Hans. Society and the formation of a documentary heritage: Issues in the appraisal of archival sources. *Archivaria* **24**, (1987).

67.

Williams, Caroline. Studying reality: the application of theory in an aspect of UK practice. *Archivaria* **62**, (2006).

68.

Jenkinson, H. The choice of records for preservation in wartime: some practical hints. in *Selected writings of Sir Hilary Jenkinson* (1980).

69.

Mercer, H. The National Archives appraisal policy: Background paper – the Grigg system and beyond.
http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/documents/information-management/background_appraisal.pdf (2004).

70.

Schellenberg, T.R. The appraisal of modern public records. *National Archives bulletin* 8.
<http://www.archives.gov/research/alic/reference/archives-resources/appraisal-of-records.html> (1956).

71.

Duranti, Luciana. The concept of appraisal and archival theory. *American Archivist* **57**, (1994).

72.

Hackman, L.J. The documentation strategy process: A model and a case study. *American Archivist* **50**, (1987).

73.

Cox, R.J. The end of collecting: Towards a new purpose for archival appraisal. *Archival Science* **2**, (2002).

74.

Bearman, D. & Lytle, R. The power and principle of provenance. *Archivaria* **21**, (1985).

75.

Day, M. The selection, appraisal and retention of digital scientific data: the ERPANET / CODATA workshop. *Ariadne* (2004).

76.

Hull, F. The use of sampling techniques in the retention of records: A RAMP study with guidelines. <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0004/000474/047452e.pdf> (1981).

77.

Foote, K.E. To remember and forget: Archives, memory, and culture. *American Archivist* **53**, (1990).

78.

Cook, T. We are what we keep: We keep what we are: Archival appraisal past, present and future. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **32**, (2011).

79.

Cook, T. What is past is prologue: A history of archival ideas since 1898 and the future paradigm shift. *Archivaria* **43**, (1997).

80.

Samuels, H.W. Who controls the past. *American Archivist* **49**, (1986).

81.

Momryk, Myron. "National significance ": The evolution and development of acquisition strategies in the manuscript division , National Archives of Canada. *Archivaria: the Journal of the Association of Canadian Archivists* **52**, (2001).

82.

Yakel, Elizabeth. Archival representation. *Archival Science* **3**, (2003).

83.

Cook, T. Archival science and postmodernism: New formulations for old concepts. *Archival Science* **1**, (2000).

84.

Nesmith, T., Society of American Archivists, & Association of Canadian Archivists. *Archival studies in English-speaking Canada and the North American rediscovery of provenance*. in *Canadian archival studies and the rediscovery of provenance* (Scarecrow Press, 1993).

85.

Scott, Peter J & Finlay, G. Archives and Administrative Change: Some Methods and Approaches (Part I). *Archives and Manuscripts* **7**, (1978).

86.

Cook, Terry & Schwartz, Joan M. Archives, records and power: From (postmodern) theory to (archival) performance. *Archival Science* **2**, (2002).

87.

Miller, F. M. Arranging and describing archives and manuscripts. vol. *Archival fundamentals series* (Society of American Archivists, 1990).

88.

Goldman, R. Derangement and Description: A chaotic little archives webcomic.
<https://derangementanddescription.wordpress.com/>.

89.

Boles, F. Disrespecting original order. *American Archivist* **45**, (1982).

90.

Cook, Terry. Fashionable nonsense or professional rebirth: Postmodernism and the practice of archives. *Archivaria* **51**, (2001).

91.

Clanchy, M. T. From memory to written record: England 1066-1307. (Wiley-Blackwell, 2013).

92.

Meehan, J. Making the Leap from Parts to Whole: Evidence and Inference in Archival Arrangement and Description. *American Archivist* **72**, (2009).

93.

Muller, S., Feith, J. A. & Fruin, R. Manual for the arrangement and description of archives. (Wilson, 1968).

94.

Schellenberg, T. R. Modern archives: principles and techniques. (Society of American Archivists, 2003).

95.

Anchor, R. 'More product less process': method, madness or practice? Archives & Records **34**, (2013).

96.

Brothman, Brien. Orders of value: Probing the theoretical terms of archival practice. Archivaria **32**, (1991).

97.

Macneil, Heather. Picking our text: Archival description, authenticity, and the archivist as editor. American Archivist **68**, (2005).

98.

Dwiggins, D. M. Putting the 'Where' in the Archives: Internet Mapping and Archival Records. Library Student Journal
<http://web.archive.org/web/20130411082237/http://www.librarystudentjournal.org/index.php/ljsj/article/view/112/275> (2010).

99.

Parker, Elizabeth. Records life cycle structure study. (2002).

100.

Wells, E. Related Material – The Arrangement and Description of Family Papers. Journal of the Society of Archivists **33**, 167–184 (2012).

101.

Duff, W. & Harris, V. Stories and names: Archival description as narrating records and constructing meanings. Archival Science **2**, (2002).

102.

Cook, T. The concept of the archival fonds in the post-custodial era: Theory, problems and

solutions. *Archivaria* **35**, (1993).

103.

Millar, Laura. The death of the fonds and the resurrection of provenance: Archival context in space and time. *Archivaria* **53**, (2002).

104.

Horsman, Peter. The last dance of the phoenix, or the re-discovery of the archival fonds. *Archivaria* **54**, (2002).

105.

Hurley, C. The making and keeping of records: (1) What are finding aids for. *Archives and Manuscripts* **26**, (1998).

106.

Duranti, L. Origin and development of the concept of description. *Archivaria* **35**, (1993).

107.

Bearman, D. & Lytle, R. The power and principle of provenance. *Archivaria* **21**, (1985).

108.

Scott, P. J. The record group concept: A case for abandonment. *American Archivist* **29**, (1966).

109.

International Dunhuang Project. The Silk Road online.

110.

Duchemin, Michel. Theoretical principles and practical problems of respect des fonds in archival science. *Archivaria* **16**, (1983).

111.

Cook, Terry. What is past is prologue: A history of archival ideas since 1898 and the future paradigm shift. *Archivaria* **43**, (1997).

112.

Beattie, Heather. Where narratives meet: Archival description, provenance, and women's diaries. *Libraries and the Cultural Record* **44**, (2009).

113.

Kaplan, Deborah, Sauer, Anne & Wilczek, Eliot. Archival description in OAI-ORE. *Journal of Digital Information* **12**, (2011).

114.

Yakel, Elizabeth. Archival representation. *Archival Science* **3**, (2003).

115.

Hensen, S. L. Archives, personal papers, and manuscripts: a cataloging manual for archival repositories, historical societies, and manuscript libraries. ([Manuscript Division, Library of Congress], 1983).

116.

Miller, F. M. Arranging and describing archives and manuscripts. vol. Archival fundamentals series (Society of American Archivists, 1990).

117.

O'Brien, Jeff. Basic RAD: A short introduction. (1997).

118.

Weber, L. B. Record Formatting: MARC AMC. in Describing Archival Materials: The Use of the Marc AMC Format (Routledge, 1990).

119.

Australian Society of Archivists. Committee on Descriptive Standards. Describing archives in context: a guide to Australian practice. (Australian Society of Archivists, Committee on Descriptive Standards, 2005).

120.

Peters, V. Developing archival context standards for functions in the higher education sector. Journal of the Society of Archivists **26**, (2005).

121.

Bunn, J. Developing descriptive standards: a renewed call to action. Archives and Records vol. 34 (2013).

122.

EAD Working Group. Development of the encoded archival description DTD. (1998).

123.

van Ballegooie, M. & Duff, W. Digital Curation Manual: Instalment on archival metadata. (2006).

124.

Technical Subcommittee on Encoded Archival Description. EAD revision. (2013).

125.

Goss, S. Facilitating access to archival material: The creation of EAD records for the online archive of California. *PNLA Quarterly* **77**, (2013).

126.

Gateway to Archives of Scottish Higher Education (GASHE). GASHE standard for creating activity descriptions. (2006).

127.

Archives and Records Association. Guide to standards.

128.

Stevenson, A & Stevenson, J. Lifting the lid on linked data: Linked data and the LOCAH project. (2011).

129.

Hooland, S. van & Verborgh, R. Linked data for libraries, archives and museums: how to clean, link and publish your metadata. (Facet Publishing, 2014).

130.

Shepherd, Elizabeth & Pringle, R. Mapping descriptive standards across domains: A comparison of ISAD(G) and SPECTRUM. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **23**, (2002).

131.

Procter, M. & Cook, M. Manual of archival description. (Routledge, 2016).

132.

Anarchivist. My Journey With Encoded Archival Description. (14AD).

133.

Yeo, Geoffrey. New approaches to archival description Standards for archival description "The need for descriptive standards is no longer a subject for debate ". (2008).

134.

Walch, V. I. Standards for archival description: A handbook. (1994).

135.

The Society of American Archivists. Statement of principles for the CUSTARD Project.

136.

Ruch, A. Supporting interoperability of distributed archives using authority controlled ontologies.

137.

Shepherd, Elizabeth & Smith, C. The application of ISAD(G) to the description of archival datasets. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **21**, (2000).

138.

Hurley, C. The Australian ('series') system: An exposition. in *The Records Continuum: Ian Maclean and Australian Archives First Fifty Years: Ian Maclean's and Australian Archives First Fifty Years* (National Archives of Australia).

139.

Caswell, M. Using classification to convict the Khmer Rouge. *Journal of Documentation* **68**, 162-184 (2012).

140.

Zhang, J. & Mauney, D. When archival description meets digital object metadata: A

typological study of digital archival representation. *The American Archivist* **76**, (2013).

141.

Mortimer, I. ARCHON and the indexes to the National Register of Archives: Past, present and future. *Program: Electronic Libraries and Information Systems* **33**, (1999).

142.

N2T. Archival resource key (ARK) identifiers.

143.

Getty Research Institute. Art and architecture thesaurus online. (2000).

144.

W3C. Date and time formats. (1997).

145.

Local Government Association. Integrated public sector vocabulary.

146.

International Council on Archives. ISAAR (CPF): International standard archival authority record for corporate bodies, persons and families, 2nd Edition. (2011).

147.

Library of Congress. Library of congress authorities.

148.

National Council on Archives. National Council on Archives: Rules for the Construction of

Personal, Place and Corporate Names. <http://archiveshub.ac.uk/ncarules/> (1997).

149.

Davidson, J. Persistent identifiers. (2006).

150.

Cox, E & Czechowski, L. Subject access points in the MARC record and archival finding aid: Enough or too many? *Journal of Archival Organization* **5**, (2007).

151.

Ribeiro, F. Subject indexing and authority control in archives: The need for subject indexing in archives and for an indexing policy using controlled language. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **17**, (1996).

152.

DOI. The DOI system: Factsheets. (2011).

153.

Vitali, S. The second edition of ISAAR(CPF) and authority control in systems for archival descriptive systems.

154.

University of London Computer Centre. UK archival thesaurus.

155.

UNESCO. UNESCO thesaurus.

156.

Garrod, P. Use of the UNESCO thesaurus for subject indexing at UK NDAD. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **21**, (2000).

157.

Corporation for National Research Initiatives. Welcome to the handle system.

158.

Higgins, Richard. A case study of EAD implementation at Durham University Library Archives and Special Collections. *Archives and Museum Informatics* **12**, (1998).

159.

Society of American Archivists. A different kind of web: new connections between archives and our users. (Society of American Archivists, 2011).

160.

Morris, L. Developing a cooperative intra-institutional approach to EAD implementation: The Harvard/Radcliffe digital findings aids project. *American Archivist* **60**, (1997).

161.

EAD Working Group. Development of the encoded archival description DTD. (1998).

162.

Hill, Amanda, Stocking, William, & Higgins, Sarah. Different strokes for different folks: Presenting EAD in three UK online catalogues. *Journal of Archival Organization* 183-195 (2006).

163.

Kiesling, K. EAD as an archival descriptive standard. *American Archivist* **60**, (1997).

164.

Encoded Archival Context Working Group. Encoded archival context: Corporate bodies, persons and families. (2011).

165.

Pitti, Daniel. Encoded Archival Description. An introduction and overview. D-Lib Magazine **5**, (1999).

166.

Stocking, William & Queyroux, F. Encoding across frontiers. (Journal of Archival Organization 3 (2/3), 2004).

167.

EAD Working Group. Encoded archival description tag library version 2002 - EAD technical document No. 2. (2002).

168.

Johnston, D. From typescript finding aids to EAD (Encoded Archival Description). A university case study. Journal of the Society of Archivists **22**, (2001).

169.

Committee of Inquiry into the Changing Learner Experience. Higher education in a Web 2.0 world. <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/publications/heweb20rptv1.pdf> (2009).

170.

Bouché, Nicole. Implementing EAD in the Yale University Library. American Archivist **60**, (1997).

171.

Higgins, S. & Inglis, G. Implementing EAD: the experience of the NAHSTE project. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **24**, 199–214 (2003).

172.

Fox, M. Implementing encoded archival description: An overview of administrative and technical considerations. *American Archivist* **60**, (1997).

173.

King, K. Introduction to Web 2.0 for archives.

174.

EAD Practices Working Group. Library of Congress Encoded Archival Description best practices. <http://www.loc.gov/rr/ead/lcp/lcp.pdf> (2008).

175.

Miller, D. R., Clarke, K. S., & ebrary, Inc. Putting XML to work in the library: tools for improving access and management. (American Library Association, 2004).

176.

RLG EAD Advisory Group. RLG best practice guidelines for encoded archival description. <http://www.oclc.org/resources/research/activities/ead/bpg.pdf> (2002).

177.

Hajo, C. Scholarly editing in a Web 2.0 world. (2009).

178.

Prom, Chris. The EAD cookbook. A survey and usability study. *American Archivist* **65**, (2002).

179.

Stevenson, J. The impact of Web 2.0 on archives. (2010).

180.

Daines, J. G. & Nimer, C. L. The Interactive Archivist.
<http://interactivearchivist.archivists.org/> (2009).

181.

Sweet, Meg. The internationalisation of EAD (Encoded Archival Description). Journal of the Society of Archivists **22**, (2001).

182.

Theimer, K. M. Web 2.0 tools and strategies for archives and local history collections. (Facet, 2010).

183.

Stockdale, R. The retrospective conversion of the British Library manuscripts catalogues: A description of the project. Journal of the Society of Archivists **21**, (2000).

184.

Tennant, R. XML in libraries. (Neal-Schuman Publishers, 2002).

185.

Godby, C. J., Young, J. A. & Childress, E. A Repository of Metadata Crosswalks. D-Lib Magazine vol. 10 (2004).

186.

Archives and Records Council Wales. Archives Network Wales project evaluation: Results of a user survey , July-August 2005. <http://anwc.llgc.org.uk/pdfs/onlineuser.pdf> (2005).

187.

Kingsley, Nick. Archives Task Force Discussion paper 3: The Electronic "National Archives Network".
http://web.archive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20111013135435/research.mla.gov.uk/evidence/documents/atf_dis03_pdf_5514.pdf (2003).

188.

Hill, Amanda. Bringing archives online with the Archives Hub. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **23**, (2002).

189.

Home, Rebecca. Crowdsourcing brings historical archive online. (2011).

190.

Holley, Rose. Crowdsourcing: How and why should libraries do it? *D-Lib Magazine* **16**, (2010).

191.

Holley, Rose. Crowdsourcing strategies for archives. (2010).

192.

Europeana: Think Culture. Europeana: Think culture: Strategic plan 2011-2015.
http://web.archive.org/web/20120207083048/http://pro.europeana.eu/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=c4f19464-7504-44db-ac1e-3ddb78c922d7&groupId=10602 (2012).

193.

Europeana: Think Culture. Explore Europe's cultural collections.

194.

Alexander, Martha Latika & Gautam, J.N. Interoperability and open archives initiative protocol for metadata harvesting (OAI-PMH). 11-13 (2004).

195.

Archives Task Force. Listening to the past, Speaking to the future: Report of the Archives Task Force.
http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20111013135435/http://research.mla.gov.uk/evidence/documents/atf_report_pdf_5479.pdf (2004).

196.

Chan, L & Zeng, M. Metadata interoperability and standardization: a study of methodology part 1: Achieving interoperability at schema level. D-Lib Magazine **12**, (2006).

197.

Zeng, M & Chan, L. Metadata interoperability and standardization - A study of methodology part II: Achieving interoperability at the record and repository levels. D-Lib Magazine **12**, (2006).

198.

Day, Michael. Metadata mapping between metadata formats. (2002).

199.

Stevenson, Jane, & Ruddock, Bethan. Moving towards interoperability: Experiences of the Archives Hub. Ariadne **63**, (2010).

200.

Craig, Barbara L. Perimeters with fences? Or thresholds with doors? Two views of a border. American Archivist **66**, (2003).

201.

Hill, Amanda. Serving the invisible researcher: meeting the needs of online users. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **25**, (2004).

202.

Holley, Rose. *Stories to tell: The making of our digital nation*. (2010).

203.

Online Archive of California. The Online Archive of California (OAC) Project: A prototype union database of encoded archival finding aids, 1998. (1998).

204.

Mildren, Rob. The Scottish Archive Network Project evaluation report.
<http://www.scan.org.uk/aboutus/report.pdf> (2004).

205.

UK Archives Discovery Network. UKAD: Promoting archives discovery for the benefit of researchers.

206.

Yeo, Geoffrey. Understanding users and use: A market segmentation approach. *Journal of the Society of Archivists* **26**, (2005).

207.

George Washington University. University writing class remembers holocaust victims. (2010).

208.

ArchivalSoftware. <http://archivalsoftware.pbworks.com/w/page/13600254/FrontPage>.

209.

Spiro, L. Archival Management Software: a Report for the Council on Library and Information Resources. (2009).

210.

Council of Nova Scotia Archives'. Archives Management Software Review. (2009).

211.

CDL Digital Special Collections. Collection Management and Creation Strategies for UC Special Collections and Archives. (2009).

212.

Shapley, M. The ANU Archives implementation of ica-atom software. (2014).

213.

National Information Standards Organization (NISO). A framework of guidance for building good digital collections, 3rd edition. 4-25 (2007).

214.

Stobo, Victoria. Copyright and risk: Scoping the Wellcome Digital Library Project. (2013).

215.

Padfield, T., Post, J. B., & Great Britain. Public Record Office. Copyright for archivists and users of archives. (Public Record Office, 2001).

216.

National Library of New Zealand. Creating a digital New Zealand: New Zealand's digital content strategy.
<http://www.itu.int/wsis/stocktaking/docs/activities/1211236218/DigitalContentStrategy.pdf>
(2007).

217.

Smith, L. & Rowley, J. Digitisation of local heritage: Local studies collections and digitisation in public libraries. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* **44**, 272–280 (2012).

218.

Wajon, Scott. Digitisation workflow. (2012).

219.

Hughes, L. & Green, D. Digitizing collections: strategic issues for the information manager. vol. Digital futures (Facet, 2002).

220.

Dunning, A. Digitizing the past: next steps for public sector digitization. in *Digital Information: Order or Anarchy?* 117–132 (Facet Publishing, 2009).

221.

New South Wales Government State Records. Equipment for digitisation.

222.

Pancza, János. Hungarian National Digital Archive and Hungarian participation in Europeana.

223.

Barry, L. & Tedd, L. A. Local studies collections online: an investigation in Irish public

libraries. Program **42**, (2008).

224.

Daniel, I. New digitisation workflow proposal. (2009).

225.

Bu

low, A., Ahmon, J., Spencer, R., & National Archives (Great Britain). Preparing collections for digitization. (Facet, in association with the National Archives, 2011).

226.

Riley, Jenn. Seeing standards: A visualization of the metadata universe. (2010).

227.

Stratton, Barbara. Seeking new landscapes: A rights clearance study in the context of mass digitisation of 140 books published between 1870 and 2010.
https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web∓cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwjV5KTo9-zdAhUELMAKHUR6ArcQFjAAegQICRAC&url=http%3A%2F%2Fvana.nlib.ee%2Fpublic%2Fdocuments%2Ffraa%2Fmatukogule%2Forbteoste_uurimus2011.pdf&usg=AOvVaw3Y9UPenFHjCeDYMakgU1DI (2010).

228.

Vogt-O'Connor, D. Selection of materials for scanning. in Handbook for digital projects: a management tool for preservation and access (ed. Sitts, M. K.) (2000).

229.

University of Nottingham. The Wollaton Library Collection episode 3: Digitisation. (2012).

230.

Padfield, T. What is copyright? in Copyright for archivists and records managers (Facet Publishing, 2019).

231.

Edina Data Centre. What is metadata? (2011).

232.

Hughes, L. Why digitize? The costs and benefits of digitization. in Digitizing collections: strategic issues for the information manager vol. Digital futures 4–30 (Facet, 2002).

233.

McGovern, N. Y. A Digital Decade: Where Have We Been and Where Are We Going in Digital Preservation? RLG DigiNews **11**, (2007).

234.

The Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. Audit and Certification of Trustworthy Digital Repositories. (2011).

235.

Halbert, M. Comparison of Strategies and Policies for Building Distributed Digital Preservation Infrastructure: Initial Findings from the MetaArchive Cooperative. International Journal of Digital Curation **4**, 43–59 (2009).

236.

Digital Curation Centre. Curation Reference Manual | Digital Curation Centre.
<http://www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/curation-reference-manual>.

237.

Brown, A. Developing Practical Approaches to Active Preservation. *International Journal of Digital Curation* **2**, 3–11 (2007).

238.

Harvey, D. R. *Digital curation: a how-to-do-it manual*. (Facet, 2010).

239.

Rusbridge, C. Excuse Me... Some Digital Preservation Fallacies? *Ariadne* (2006).

240.

Brown, A. *Practical digital preservation: a how-to guide for organizations of any size*. (Facet Publishing, 2013).

241.

PREMIS Editorial Committee. *PREMIS Data Dictionary for Preservation Metadata, Version 3.0*. (2015).

242.

Consultative Committee for Space Data System Practices. *Reference model for an open archival information system (OAIS)*. (2012).

243.

Blue Ribbon Task Force. *Sustainable Economies for a Digital Planet: Ensuring Long-Term Access to Digital Information*. (2010).

244.

Lavoie, Brian F. *Technology watch report: The Open Archival Information System Reference Model: Introductory guide, 2nd Edition*. (2014).

245.

Higgins, S. The DCC curation lifecycle model. *The International Journal of Digital Curation* **3**, 134–140 (2008).

246.

Currall, J., Johnson, C. & McKinney, P. The world is all grown digital.... How shall a man persuade management what to do in such times? *International Journal of Digital Curation* **2**, 12–28 (2007).

247.

Paradigm Project. Workbook on digital private papers. (2008).